

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/780,675	NICOLAIDES ET AL.	

All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowance</u>		
(1) <u>Ramin (Ray) Akhavan</u> .	(3) _____		
(2) <u>Michelle L. Holmes-Son</u> .	(4) _____		
Date of Interview: <u>31 August 2005</u>	Time: _____		
Type of Interview:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:			
1, 6, 7, 16, 17, 18, 27 and 71-78			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)		(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed an Examiner's Amendment to claims 16, 17, 26 and 71 because the claims were not further limiting. Agreed to examiner's amendment amending claims 1, 6, 7, 16-18, 27, 71 and 74-78, and canceling claim 26 to obviate any objection to the claims..